1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 ORIN SAFIER, on behalf of 11 himself and those similarly) 12 situated No. C05-3353 BZ 13 Plaintiff(s), ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR 14 v. SUPPLEMENTAL AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES 15 WESTERN DIGITAL CORPORATION, Defendant(s). 16 17 The fees for class counsel were determined by the 18 19 lodestar method, as class counsel had proposed. That analysis 2.0 is contained in the first 8 pages of the court's order. The 21 fact that a cross check, now that all class members have 22 submitted claims, would produce a slightly higher amount, does 23 not alter the court's lodestar analysis. IT IS THEREFORE 2.4 ORDERED that the motion is DENIED. 25 Dated: July 21, 2006 26

Zimmerman

United States Magistrate Judge

G:\BZALL\-BZCASES\SAFIER\DENY.ATTYS.FEES.ORD.wpd

27

28